## ON CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Subathra K.1, Rajasekaran S.2

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Satyabama University, Chennai, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sciences and Humanities, B.S Adbul Rahman University, Chennai, INDIA e-mail: k.subathra@yahoo.co.in

## **ABSTRACT**

Let A be the class of functions  $f(z) = z + \sum a_n z^n$  which are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{ z \in C : |z| < 1 \}$  of the

complex plane. In this paper we introduce a subclass  $J(\lambda, \alpha, \delta)$  of A and study some of their interesting properties such as inclusion results and covering theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic functions, univalent functions with positive real part, convex functions, convolution, integral operator.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Let A be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 analytic in the open disc

 $U = \{ z \in C : |z| < 1 \}$ . Let S denote the class of functions in A which are univalent in U.

Let  $P_k(\delta)$  be the class of functions p(z) analytic in U satisfying the properties p(0) = 1 and

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re} p(z) - \delta}{1 - \delta} \right| d\theta \le k\pi$$
(1.1)

where  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $k \ge 2$  and  $\theta \le \delta < 1$ . This class has been introduced in [5]. We note that, for  $\delta = 0$ , we obtain the class  $P_k$  defined and studied in [6] and for  $\delta = 0, k = 2$  we have the well known class P of functions with positive real part. The case k=2 gives the class  $P(\delta)$  of functions with positive real part greater than  $\delta$ .

From (1.1) we can easily deduce that  $p \in P_k(\delta)$  if and only if, there exists  $p_1, p_2 \in P(\delta)$ such that

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) p_2(z)$$

For the function 
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 and

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad \text{which are analytic in } U, \text{ let}$$
 
$$(f*g)(z) \text{ denote the convolution of } f(z) \text{ and } g(z) \text{ and }$$

(f \* g)(z) denote the convolution of f(z) and g(z) and be defined by

$$(f * g) (z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

Now we consider the incomplete beta function  $\phi$  (a, c; z) which is defined by

$$\phi(a, c; z) = 2F(1, a, c; z)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n+1}, c \neq 0, -1, -2 \dots$$

corresponding to the function  $\phi$  (a, c; z) Carlson and Shaffer [1] defined a linear operator L(a, c) and A defined by

$$L(a, c)(f(z)) = \phi(a, c; z) \times f(z), f \in A$$

It is known in [1] that L(a, c) maps A into itself. If  $a \neq 0, -1, -2, ...$  then L, a, c has a continuous inverse of L(a, c). If c > a > 0, then L(a, c) has the integral representation.

$$L(a, c) (f(z)) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c-a)}$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{a-1} (1-t)^{c-a-1} \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt$$

**Definition 1.1** Let  $f \in A$ , then  $f \in J(\lambda, \alpha, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\left\{ (1-\lambda) \left[ \alpha f'(z) + (1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right] + \lambda \left[ z \alpha f''(z) + f'(z) \right] \right\}$$

$$\in P_k(\delta), z \in U$$

where a > 0,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $k \ge 2$  and  $0 \le \delta < 1$ 

**Lemma 1.1** If p(z) is analytic in U with p(0) = 1 and  $\lambda$  is a complex number satisfying Re  $\lambda > 0$  ( $\lambda \neq 0$ ) then

Re 
$$[p(z) + \lambda zp'(z)] > \beta (0 \le \beta < 1)$$

implies.

Re 
$$p(z) > \beta + (1 - \beta)(2\gamma - 1)$$

where  $\gamma$  is given by

$$\gamma = \int_{0}^{1} (1 + t^{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}) - 1 \, dt$$

**Lemma 1.2** [4] Let  $c > 0, \lambda > 0, \delta < 1$ . If  $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$  be analytic in U and

Re 
$$[p(z) + c \lambda zp'(z)] > \delta, z \in U$$

then

Re 
$$[p(z) + czp'(z)] \ge 2\delta - 1 + \frac{1 - \delta}{\lambda}$$

$$+2(1-\delta)\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\frac{1}{c\lambda}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{\frac{1}{tc\lambda}-1}{1+t}dt$$

This result is sharp.

## II. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $\lambda$ , a > 0,  $0 \le \delta < 1$  and let  $f \in J(\lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ . Then  $\alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} \in P_k(\delta_1)$  where  $\delta_1$  is given by

$$\delta_1 = \delta + (1 - \delta) (2\gamma - 1) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\gamma = \int_{0}^{1} (1 + t^{\operatorname{Re}\gamma})^{-1} dt$$

Proof. Let

$$\alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} = p(z) =$$

$$\left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) p_2(z)$$

Then p(z) is analytic in U and

$$p(z) = \alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}$$
 (2.2)

Taking the derivatives on both sides we get,

$$(1 - \lambda) \left[ \alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right]$$
$$+ \lambda \left[ z \alpha f''(z) + f'(z) \right] = p(z) + \lambda z p'(z).$$

Since 
$$f \in J(\lambda, \alpha \delta)$$
,  $p(z) + \lambda z p'(z) \in P_k(\delta)$ ,  $z \in U$ .

This implies that Re  $[p(z) + \lambda zp'(z)] > \delta$ . Using Lemma 1.1, Re  $[p(z)] > \delta_1$  where  $\delta_1$  is given by (2.1).

Hence 
$$p(z) \in P_k(\delta_1), z \in U$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem** 2.2 Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $0 \le \delta < 1$ . If  $f \in J(\lambda, \alpha, \delta)$  then  $z\alpha f''(z) + f' \in P_k(\delta_2)$  where

$$\delta_2 = 2 \delta - 1 + \frac{1 - \delta}{\lambda} + 2 (1 - \delta) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{1}{t\lambda} - 1}{1 + t} dt$$

This result is sharp.

Proof Let 
$$p(z) = \alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z}$$

Taking derivatives on both sides, we get

$$(1 - \lambda) p(z) + \lambda [z\alpha f''(z) + f'(z)] = p(z) + \lambda zp'(z)$$

Since  $f \in J(\lambda, \alpha \delta)$  we have

Re 
$$[p(z) + \lambda zp'(z)] > \delta, z \in U$$

According to Lemma 1.2

$$Re \left[ z \alpha f'''(z) + f'(z) \right] = Re \left[ p(z) + \lambda z p'(z) \right]$$

$$\geq 2\delta - 1 + \frac{1 - \delta}{\lambda} + 2(1 - \delta) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t\overline{\lambda} - 1}{1 + t} dt$$

But

$$f_{\lambda, \alpha \delta}(z) = z \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda} & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{t\lambda} - 1 \frac{1 + (1 - 2\delta) tz}{1 - tz} dt \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{a}} \in J(\lambda, \alpha, \delta)$$

Hence the inequality is sharp.

**Theorem 2.3** For each  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 \le \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ ,

$$J(\lambda_2, \alpha, \delta) \subset J(\lambda_1, \alpha, \delta)$$

Proof. For  $\lambda_1 = 0$ , the proof is immediate

Let  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and let  $f \in J(\lambda_2, \alpha, \delta)$ , then there exists two functions  $h_1, h_2 \in P_k(\delta)$ , such that, from Definition 1.1 and Theorem 2.1

$$(I - \lambda_2) \left[ \alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right] + \lambda_2 \left[ z \alpha f''(z) + f'(z) \right] = h_1(z)$$

and

$$\alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} = h_2(z)$$

Hence

$$(1 - \lambda_1) \left[ \alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right] + \lambda_1 \left[ z \alpha f''(z) + f'(z) \right]$$

$$=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}h_{1}\left(z\right)+\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)h_{2}\left(z\right)\tag{2.3}$$

Since the class  $P_k(\delta)$  is a convex set, [2], it follows that the right hand side of (2.3) belongs to  $P_k(\delta)$  and this proves the result.

## Theorem 2.4 Let

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in J(\lambda, \alpha, \delta)$$

Then

$$|a_n| \le \frac{k(1-\delta)}{\lambda + \alpha}$$

The function  $f_{\lambda, \alpha, \delta}(z)$  defined as

$$\left(\frac{f_{\lambda,\alpha,\delta}(z)}{z}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} \left[\left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)t^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} - 1} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\delta)tz}{1 + tz}\right] dt$$

shows that this result is sharp.

Proof. Since  $f \in J(\lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ 

$$\left\{ (1 - \lambda) \left[ \alpha f'(z) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right] + \lambda \left[ z \alpha f''(z) + f'(z) \right] \right\}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

$$\in P_k(\delta)$$

It is known that  $|c_n| \le k(1 - \delta)$  for all n. Using the above inequality, we prove the required result.

**Theorem 2.5** (Covering theorem), Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Let  $f = F \in J(\lambda, l, \delta)$ . If D is the boundary of the image of U under F, then every point of D has a distance of atleast  $\frac{\lambda + 1}{(2 + k) + 2\lambda - k\delta}$  from the origin.

Proof. Let  $F(z) \neq \omega_0$  and  $\omega_0 \neq 0$ .

Then  $f_1(z) = \frac{\omega_0 F(z)}{\omega_0 + F(z)}$  is univalent in U. Since F is univalent.

Let 
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

Then 
$$f_1(z) = z + \left(a_2 - \frac{1}{\omega_0}\right)z^2 + \dots$$
  
But  $\left|a_2 - \frac{1}{\omega_0}\right| \le 2$ 

By Theorem 2.4 
$$|a_2| \le \frac{k(1-\delta)}{1+\lambda}$$

Hence we obtain 
$$|\omega_0| \ge \frac{1+\lambda}{(2+k)+2\lambda-k\lambda}$$

# **REFERENCES**

- [1] Carlson B.C. and Shaffer D.B., 1984, "Starlike and Prestarlike hypergeometric functions", SIAM J. Math. Anal., 737 745.
- [2] Noor K.I., 1992 "On subclasses to close-to-convex functions of higher order", Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 15, 279 290.
- [3] Noor K.I., 2006 "On certain classes of analytic functions, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl." Math., 7(2) Art. 49
- [4] Mingsheng L., 2002"Properties for some subclasses of analytic functions", Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 30, 9-26.
- [5] Padmanabhan K. and Parvatham R. 1975 "Properties of a subclass of functions with bounded boundary rotation". Ann. Polon. Math., 31 (1975), 311 323.
- [6] Pinchuck B., 1971"Functions with bounded boundary rotation", Isr. J. Math, 10, 7 16.